

keywords: continuing from **ACLJ** 200710 at <http://AustLawPublish.com/20071008AustralianCriminalLawJournalissue200710.mellifont.loss.hc.pdf> /;

Terence Joseph Mellifont appealed to High court; lost appeal; BUT NO SECOND INDICTMENT; Goss Labor Government then in "power"; Leanne Clare is present Qld DPP; she could charge Mellifont; any person in Qld could begin a Private Prosecution [PP]; she can assume any such PP; IT IS PUBLIC THAT THERE IS A prima facia case of perjury against Terence Joseph Mellifont [Terry Mellifont of TJ Mellifont infamy] why does Leanne Clare not charge Mellifont; has the Labor government made it to her benefit to not charge Mellifont?



Leanne Clare: Qld DPP and **LABOR STOOGES?**

by *Alex Gordon LLB*

We ask Queensland DPP: "Are you afraid that his, [Terence Joseph Mellifont; Terry Mellifont of TJ Mellifont infamy], wife Julie Maree Dick/Mellifont now Judge of the District Court would develop a dislike of you and so make your prosecutions before her more difficult?" Since that could be a technical possibility, that is one more reason that it was "inappropriate" that Dick/Mellifont should have been appointed to the DC. How many people that you have prosecuted before Dick/Mellifont have been found guilty? We suggest, each of those may suspect that

Leanne Clare is the Queensland DPP. The Special Prosecutor had advised Terry Mellifont that he would be charged again with perjury to the Fitzgerald Inquiry, if the reference to the Full Court was "successful". It was but Mellifont appealed it to the High Court. He lost, but Mellifont was not charged. Clare could still charge him. She has not. There is a strong prima facia case of perjury against Mellifont. Mellifont was a "Labor Lawyer" prior to his being struck off permanently. He is a strong supporter of Labor. Is the reason Clare has not charged him that she is a LABOR STOOGES? She was appointed by Labor, and unlike judges, she can be sacked by Labor without reason, or for the reason of not being their stooge.

HAIGPHOTO

Dick/Mellifont has given you favourable treatment because you have shown a propensity to not prosecute Terence Joseph Mellifont [Terry Mellifont of TJ Mellifont & Co infamy]. YOU ARE IN AN INVIDIOUS, UNTENABLE AND IMPOSSIBLE POSITION. You should forthwith resign and state the reason. If you do not, we contend that such would be more likely to suggest that you are a labor stooge, than that you are anti-labor. Maybe, we should commence a Private Prosecution and you can continue it.

All your printing needs in one spot.

If you find Australian printing too expensive and poor quality, contact us on our PHP email at <http://AustLawPublish.com/eml.html> /. We arrange to provide to you, printing services by a variety of printers in South East Asia. Those printers will be provided with print/photo ready copy. We will arrange businesses and individual Graphic Designers in Australia , New Zealand or a country where the language of the Print job is the first language of that country, so they can produce that copy to your satisfaction prior to its going to the Asian printer. Contact us on our PHP email at <http://AustLawPublish.com/eml.html>

The question, the answer to which could be quite informative is, when and why Spender chose to enter the “law”, when he was gifted in mathematics? When one considers other information, it is quite reasonable to decide that Spender's intention was to have power and be in charge. From his law degree, Spender went straight into being a Crown Prosecutor. Clearly, that was a deliberate “career” move to enable him to fully understand the workings of crime in Queensland. Spender was Public Prosecutor for 4 years. That gave him a good grounding in, and taught him much about corruption in Queensland. Is it any wonder that so many big time high profile criminals [and the many others that he got off murder charges], chose him to 'defend' them?

As the Public Prosecutor, Spender would have had so much contact with corrupt police. Police were corrupt, pre and post Fitzgerald and still are; just part of a whole corrupt system. Most of the people prosecuted for crime, are disadvantaged and disabled. Police know they are the easier ones to prosecute. Spender has no qualms about benefiting at the expense of the disabled. He conspired with Mellifont in 1974 to defraud a disabled guy just because he was disabled.

In our concurrent publication [Australian Criminal Law Journal \[ACLJ\] Issue #200710 published 8 October, 2007](#) archived at <http://AustLawPublish.com/20071008AustralianCriminalLawJournalissue200710.mellifont.loss.hc.pdf> we discuss the matter of the prima facie case of perjury by Terence Joseph Mellifont [Terry Mellifont of TJ Mellifont infamy] to the Fitzgerald Inquiry [FI]. Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] was a member/hangeron, for Queensland, but, unlike Spender, Mellifont ["husband" of

Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] was dumb and incompetent. Both Spender and Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] were and are corrupt.

WANTED: Contract PRINTERS in Asia.

Print/copy shop owners or operators anywhere in South East Asia including China, Singapore, India, Malaysia, Vietnam, Thailand, Cambodia, Hong Kong, Macau, South Korea, Philippines, Indonesia, Japan, Taiwan, New Zealand, and Sri Lanka. [Google loves a list], we invite your expression of interest in offering your printing services.

Please read the [Allstralian Business Journal](http://AustLawPublish.com/20070813AllstralianBusinessJournalissue200701.pdf) article at <http://AustLawPublish.com/20070813AllstralianBusinessJournalissue200701.pdf> /. Our initial small print run is black monochrome A4. We expect to direct much more print business to Asian firms as the Australian Print/copy businesses are overcharging and giving poor service. See our accompanying advertisements for people wanting printing done and for the Graphic Designers of print copy to produce print ready copy in .pdf format for those customers.

We are happy to pay in your currency or any currency you choose. The payment would be electronically, immediately the work is done and posted. **Contact us on our PHP email at <http://AustLawPublish.com/eml.html>**

[Mellifont \["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick\]](http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/journals/OUTLJJ/2003/24.html?query=^Mellifont) was struck off the roll of solicitors by [The Full Court of the Supreme Court of Queensland \[as it was then structured\] in 1980](http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/journals/OUTLJJ/2003/24.html?query=^Mellifont). That , [archived at <http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/journals/OUTLJJ/2003/24.html?query=^Mellifont>], is a reference to an article following Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick]'s being struck off for good. The actual case, reported in Qld Reports [1981] page 17 is not freely available online. [Another later case involving Mellifont \["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick\]](http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/journals/OUTLJJ/2003/24.html?query=^Mellifont) is online at [Mellifont v Attorney-General \(Qld\) \[1991\] HCA 53; \(1991\) 173 CLR 289 \(12 December 1991\)](http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/journals/OUTLJJ/2003/24.html?query=^Mellifont) /. That case, when Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] was struck off, showed that Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] was incompetent . It also showed he was prepared to be corrupt, a criminal perjurer, to conceal his incompetence. In 1974 with Haig, Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] was corrupt in conspiring with Heffernan of the Queensland Government Railways [QGR], to shaft our photographer, the disabled Haig, when Haig was the client of Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick], to put the Criminal Heffernan in the clear. We might add that because Haig was, and still is, disabled with the results of brain damage, Mellifont and Spender felt it was easier to put it over on Haig, and rip him off, as they did. In [Australian Criminal Law Journal \[ACLJ\] ISSN 1321-6562, published 13 August, 2007](http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/journals/OUTLJJ/2003/24.html?query=^Mellifont) archived at <http://AustLawPublish.com/20070813AustralianCriminalLawJournalissue200708.justice.jeffrey.spender.pdf> /, we detail the incompetent way in which Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick], for his part, perpetrated the fraud against Haig. It is because of this incompetence by Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government

appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick], that Haig, with our assistance, is able to prove that Spender was corrupt, and defrauded Haig, as did Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick], Heffernan, Reiman and vicariously Queensland Rail. Plainly, it was because Haig was clearly disabled and that Spender and Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] knew that Haig was disabled, that Spender and Mellifont ["husband" of Beattie Government appointed District Court Judge Julie Maree Dick] decided to defraud Haig, as we detail below.

When Haig, as an Accountant with a commerce degree, appeared as Applicant in Spender's Court, 16 years later, Spender worried that the pigeons of his corruption in defrauding Haig, could be coming home to roost. With that Spender's Evil Web [SEW] sprung into action. They could not have their top man, the linchpin of corruption, exposed. They were concerned. [To read further on the SEW protecting Spender and, inturn, the SEW themselves, see http://AustLawPublish.com/austlawpublishSavingSpendersEvilWeb.html](http://AustLawPublish.com/austlawpublishSavingSpendersEvilWeb.html) /.

Graphic Designers: Business opportunity

We invite expressions of interest from Australian and New Zealand Graphic Designers who wish to provide a service to the Australian or New Zealand Community, as indicated by the above two advertisements, and the [Allstralian Business Journal](http://AustLawPublish.com/20070813AllstralianBusinessJournalissue200701.pdf) article at <http://AustLawPublish.com/20070813AllstralianBusinessJournalissue200701.pdf> /.

We believe that by arranging better value and quality printing, we will increase the market for printed material and hence increase work for Graphic Designers being produced by TAFE around Australia.

Contact us on our PHP email at

<http://AustLawPublish.com/eml.html>

We imagine Spender would never have thought this will be back to bite him after 33 years. At that time in 1974, Mellifont would have been about 31 years old, and Spender about 32 years old.

This Journal is part of the Mellifont/Spender/Heffernan/Reiman/Queensland Rail fraud topic. On the August, 2007 occasion, this FRAUD TOPIC was spread across four journals. They are archived at [Australian Parliamentary Law Journal Issue 200702](http://austlawpublish.com/20070813AustralianParliamentaryLawJournalissue200702.pdf).

<http://austlawpublish.com/20070813AustralianParliamentaryLawJournalissue200702.pdf> /, [Australian Criminal Law Journal Issue 200708 \[Justice.Jeffrey.Spender\]](http://austlawpublish.com/20070813AustralianCriminalLawJournalissue200708.justice.jeffrey.spender.pdf)

<http://austlawpublish.com/20070813AustralianCriminalLawJournalissue200708.justice.jeffrey.spender.pdf> /, [QUT Alumni Journal Issue 200701](http://austlawpublish.com/20070813QUTAJissue200701.pdf)

<http://austlawpublish.com/20070813QUTAJissue200701.pdf> /, [The Allstralian Journal Issue 200701](http://austlawpublish.com/20070813TheAllstralianJournalissue200701.pdf) <http://austlawpublish.com/20070813TheAllstralianJournalissue200701.pdf> /.

In July 2007, we had four journals addressing this topic. They are archived at <http://AustLawpublish.com/20070716AustralianCriminalLawJournalissue200707.judge.julie.dick.pdf> ,
<http://AustLawpublish.com/20070716dossierof.judge.julie.maree.dick.pdf> ,
<http://AustLawpublish.com/20070716dossierof.terence.mellifont.pdf> ,
<http://AustLawpublish.com/20070716QBAissue200702.pdf> /. These are in addition to seven of our previous journals. In April, we published [HaigReport \[ISSN 1834-6294 #200701 26 March, 2007](http://austlawpublish.com/20070314%20HaigReport%20journal%20issue200701.pdf) (we held it over for a month)]./ It is also archived online at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070314%20HaigReport%20journal%20issue200701.pdf> /. That gave the factual setting from which this umbrella topic has arisen. In May, 2007, we publishing a further six journals which are relevant to this topic/matter. Our then newest Law Journal, very topical in Australia in the lead up to the 2007 Federal Election, was the [Australian Industrial Relations Law Journal ISSN 1834-8378 \[AIRLJ\] Issue #200701](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Industrial Relations Law Journal issue200701.pdf) which is archived at [<http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Industrial Relations Law Journal issue200701.pdf>]. Although the [Australian Judiciary Law Journal ISSN 1321-4497 Issue #200701](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Judiciary Law Journal issue200701.pdf) [<http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Judiciary Law Journal issue200701.pdf>], is included as part of the [Australian Criminal Law Journal ISSN 1321-6562 Issue200705](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Criminal Law Journal issue200705.pdf) [archived at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Criminal Law Journal issue200705.pdf>], we also reference its separate publication as <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521 Australian Judiciary Law Journal issue200701.pdf>, and the **Dossiers of: three people involved are [Dossier of: Walter Norman Reiman](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.walter.reiman.pdf) archived at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.walter.reiman.pdf> , [Dossier of: Jeffery Ernest John Spender](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.jeffery.spender.pdf) archived at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.jeffery.spender.pdf> and [Dossier of: Frank Thomas Heffernan](http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.frank.heffernan.pdf) archived at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070521dossierof.frank.heffernan.pdf> and for background [HaigReport \[ISSN 1834-6294 #200701 26 March, 2007](http://austlawpublish.com/20070314%20HaigReport%20journal%20issue200701.pdf), also archived online at <http://austlawpublish.com/20070314%20HaigReport%20journal%20issue200701.pdf> /.**

[Attention MEDIA BUYING AGENTS: Discover the cost-benefit imperative of advertising in our journals.](http://austlawpublish.com/austlawpublishAdvertising.html)

<http://austlawpublish.com/austlawpublishAdvertising.html>