

# Dossier of:

ISSN: 1834-805X

18 June, 2007.

Issue 200702.ian.robert.arthur.row

Publisher: **Australian Law Publishers** Pty Ltd[ACN 010 615 933] [AuLP] [E: info@AustLawPublish.com](mailto:info@AustLawPublish.com)

<http://austlawpublish.com> 254 Hawken Drive, St Lucia, Qld. 4067.

Skype: russellmathews

Editor-in-Chief: **Russell G H Mathews BCom BSc LLB BA**

**keywords:** Ian Row; Telecom Australia; Telstra; Corporate Solicitor; involved in Criminal fraud by Telecom/Telstra; one matter sub judice in Criminal Jurisdiction, so cannot publish all details;


**Attention MEDIA BUYING AGENTS: Discover the cost-benefit imperative of advertising in our journals.** <http://austlawpublish.com/austlawpublishAdvertising.html>

## Ian Robert Arthur Row, Corporate Solicitor for Telstra

then for VisionStream P/L  
subsidiary of  
**Leighton Holdings Ltd**

*By Alex Gordon LLB*

Ian Row is one aspect of the Telstra/Telecom  
**fraud that AuLP is**



# featuring this month. This is topical as Telstra is attempting to strangle future competition in Broadband in Australia.

People should now what the culture is at Telstra. Although Row left Telstra quite some time ago, we have no indication that the corrupt culture at Telstra has changed, but rather, quite the contrary. It remains. The links to our other journals covering aspects of this FRAUD, including hyperlinks for this journal when archived online, are detailed at the end of this article. [Google indexes/notes them regardless of where they appear.]

Row's connection to the fraud is subject to a serious matter presently before the courts in their Criminal Jurisdiction, so we are unable to discuss aspects impinging on that matter for sub judge considerations. We emphasise, the matter is in the criminal jurisdiction. It does not involve Telstra, or Row, so we can publish these matters. These criminal matters and the manner in which Row is connected to them, can be addressed [outside of Queensland – we will tell you about that little twist elsewhere] in private meetings. We are surmising that, in the present Broadband debate, the G9 parties who would very much like to know this criminal connection of Telstra. We can advise them in Private. If Row has not yet retired from Leightons, they too would most likely be very interested to know. [Even if Row has retired, he was there for a long time since his involvement in the Telecom/Telstra fraud. [We are sure that Row will plead ignorance, but, we suggest, such would be inconsistent with much/many of his actions and utterances at the time, which, because they relate to the sub judge matter, must remain unpublished for the moment. The point of our Dossier of: journals is to give more detailed information about people in our investigative articles. We are merely collating publicly available information. It is ironic that Telstra's White Pages are very helpful to find information about Row.

White Pages disclose: Row I & S at Riley Rd Outtrim 3951 (03) 5657 3226 and Row M J & J L at Rileys Rd Outtrim 3951 (03) 5657 3235. The Australian Electoral Roll discloses: Ian Robert Arthur Row at 55 Riley Rd, Outtrim

Vic 3951, but further details that at 65 Riley Rd, there are three further electors; viz: Susan Barbara Row, Jacinta Lee Row and Matthew John Row. So it is presumed that all four are related and that Susan Barbara is mobile and Ian Robert Arthur is experiencing some matrimonial mobility. [Alternatively, Ian Row's "6" could have been read as a "5".]

## The "Value of Telstra's FRAUD!!"

The initial loss of revenue cause by the admitted fault of Telstra was of the order of \$90,000. A payment of \$90,000 in November 1996, would NOT have fully compensated for the loss. The later the instance at which compensation would have been paid, the greater would be the amount of the losses caused. Because Mel Ward had assured Haig that the loss would be "fixed up", Haig had delayed restructuring his business to take account fo the Telstra fraud. This caused him to lose his business and be declared bankrupt. This is all due to the Telstra Fraud.

Just as we said, Haig had a nascent employment agency in 1986, three years before Therese Rein began her employment agency. Haig had many advantages over Rein. Her business is today worth in the order of \$170 million. Haig's would have been worth more. Haig had many other aspects to his business including Computing, ICT, Tax, Accounting, Staff Training [Haig had been a full-time Secondary School teacher and a full-time Tutor in Commerce at James Cook University in Townsville], Superannuation and a Licenced Corporate Dealer in Securties, under which many Dealer's Reps could be appointed. The value

**of the Loss today would greatly exceed, \$1,000 million dollars.**

Haig does not think that he will receive that due compensation from Telstra. We had considered withholding Row's name, but we suspected that Row or others [of which there are many] who wish an ill wind blowing on Haig, may try to allege that Haig was attempting to extort money from Row. Row is reported on the web ["Revision" Issue 2 May, 2002] as at May 2002 as working at Visionstream, a subsidiary of Leighton Holdings Ltd. He sounded a real crawler: "The opportunity to be part of the Visionstream Team, under Chris Forsterling's leadership, at this stage of my career, provides me with both excitement and difficulty." He continues: "The difficulty is that I keep putting off my retirement because of my enjoyment with work!" Well, if Row has not retired already, this publication may seal it for him. Haig says that Row not only cheated him, but also was a "smart arse" into the bargain. Haig says, "Let Row sue. I'm ready for him now." Haig says that if Row decides to sue us, the Publisher, Haig will apply to the court to be joined in the action. The "sub judice blank" can be detailed in court.

It does appear, [thankfully], that Row is no longer with the Leightons' subsidiary. The web shows Row as "Media Contact: Ian Row, 0408 126 396" for **Media release – Same sex relationship register welcome ...** for Victorian Equal

Opportunity and Human Rights Commission, or maybe that was not his job but just an interest. We see on the web that Row is rabbiting on about South Gippsland Shire Council approving a rabbit farm in the area and so will be hopping off to Melbourne to take an appeal to the VCAT in Melbourne on 9 and 10 July, 2007.

We see him listed Consumer Affairs Victoria contact for Delegate queries: Ian Row  
Telephone: 03 9627 7220  
Email: cav.communications@justice.vic.gov.au

**There is no other Ian Row on the Electoral roll for Victoria.**

**The links connecting our journals on the different aspects and emphases of this TELSTRA FRAUD matter are:**

The more extensive narrative is covered in [HaigReport Issue200702 dated 18 June, 2007 at http://austlawpublish.com/20070618\\_HaigReport\\_journal\\_issue200702.pdf](http://austlawpublish.com/20070618_HaigReport_journal_issue200702.pdf) /. The related journals on the Telstra culture of Crime and fraud are included [in these journals and these archive URLs: Australian Criminal Law Journal ISSN: 1321-6562](#) with the actual copy at [http://austlawpublish.com/20070618\\_AustralianCriminalLawJournal\\_issue200706.pdf](http://austlawpublish.com/20070618_AustralianCriminalLawJournal_issue200706.pdf) [Australian Telecommunications Law Journal ISSN 1321-4470](#) with the archived copy at [http://austlawpublish.com/20070618\\_AustralianTelecommunicationsLawJournal\\_issue200701.pdf](http://austlawpublish.com/20070618_AustralianTelecommunicationsLawJournal_issue200701.pdf) /. We have [Dossiers of:](#) [URL = <http://austlawpublish.com/austlawpublishDossierof.html> /] for the two person centrally involved [not subject to the sub-judice consideration] viz: [Mel Ward](#) at [http://austlawpublish.com/20070618dossierof\\_melvin.ward.pdf](http://austlawpublish.com/20070618dossierof_melvin.ward.pdf) and [Ian Robert Arthur Row, then Corporate Solicitor at Telstra](#) at [http://austlawpublish.com/20070618dossierof\\_i.an.row.pdf](http://austlawpublish.com/20070618dossierof_i.an.row.pdf) /. Because the Commonwealth Ombudsman [not the TIO] was also involved, we have included that aspect in [Australian Parliamentary Law Journal issue200701](#) at [http://austlawpublish.com/20070618\\_AustralianParliamentaryLawJournal\\_issue200701.pdf](http://austlawpublish.com/20070618_AustralianParliamentaryLawJournal_issue200701.pdf) /.

**[Attention MEDIA BUYING AGENTS: Discover the cost-benefit imperative of advertising in our journals.](http://austlawpublish.com/austlawpublishAdvertising.html)**